

Decomposition of the Total Electromagnetic Momentum in a Linear Dielectric into Field and Matter Components

Michael E. Crenshaw

*US Army Aviation and Missile Research, Development,
and Engineering Center, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35898, USA*

(Dated: March 29, 2013)

The long-standing resolution of the Abraham–Minkowski electromagnetic momentum controversy is predicated on a decomposition of the total momentum of a closed continuum electrodynamic system into separate field and matter components. Using a microscopic model of a simple linear dielectric, we derive Lagrangian equations of motion for the electric dipoles and show that the dielectric can be treated as a collection of stationary simple harmonic oscillators that are driven by the electric field and produce a polarization field in response. The macroscopic energy and momentum are defined in terms of the electric, magnetic, and polarization fields that travel through the dielectric together as a pulse of electromagnetic radiation. We conclude that both the macroscopic energy and the macroscopic momentum are entirely electromagnetic in nature for a simple linear dielectric in the absence of significant reflections.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a dielectric environment, the momentum of the electromagnetic field becomes a contested issue. The matter was first raised over a century ago when Minkowski [1], in 1908, and Abraham [2], in 1909, produced conflicting expressions for the energy–momentum tensor of an electromagnetic field in a linear optical medium. While a number of experiments seemed to validate the Abraham momentum density

$$\mathbf{g}_A = \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H}) \quad (1)$$

other experiments suggested that the Minkowski momentum density

$$\mathbf{g}_M = \frac{1}{c} (\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (2)$$

provides the correct description of the momentum of light in a linear medium [3]. The inability to decide between the two energy–momentum tensors and their underlying momentum densities became known as the Abraham–Minkowski controversy. The resolution of the Abraham–Minkowski dilemma was provided by Penfield and Haus [4], based on earlier work by Møller [5], who showed that the issue is undecidable because neither the Abraham momentum nor the Minkowski momentum is the total momentum. Instead, each corresponds to an open description of the system in which the momentum is allocated differently between the field and matter [3–8].

Following the work of Penfield and Haus [4], Gordon [9], in 1973, assumed the Abraham form for the field momentum subsystem and derived the material contribution to the momentum in a dilute vapor by integrating the Lorentz force on each dipole. Gordon derived the total momentum density

$$\mathbf{g}_G = \frac{1}{c} (n\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (3)$$

for a vapor that is sufficiently dilute that reflections can be neglected. Three years later, Peierls [10] followed a similar procedure to obtain a total momentum density

$$\mathbf{g}_P = \frac{n^2 + 1}{2c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (4)$$

that is the arithmetic mean of the Abraham and Minkowski forms. The Gordon and Peierls momentum densities are equivalent to lowest order in $\delta n = n - 1$.

The momentum of the field in a linear material continues to be a subject of active research. Mansuripur [11] treats the kinematic momentum of partially reflecting matter together with the forward traveling light momentum, Kemp [12] adopts a subsystem approach for the field and matter, and Barnett [13] shows that the total momentum can be regarded, equally, as a combination of the Abraham and kinetic momenta or as the sum of the Minkowski and canonical momenta. Obukhov [14] studied the effect of moving media on the electromagnetic energy and momentum. The literature on the subject is extensive and the reader is referred to reviews of the prior work by Pfeifer, *et al.* [8], Barnett and Loudon [15], Milonni and Boyd [16], and Kemp [17] and the resource letter by Griffiths [18].

The total momentum of the electromagnetic field and dielectric can be uniquely defined only in the context of a thermodynamically closed system with complete equations of motion [6]. In recent work [19], global conservation principles were applied to a closed system consisting of a quasimonochromatic pulse of electromagnetic radiation normally incident on a stationary simple dielectric with refractive index n through a gradient-index antireflection coating. It was found that the total momentum

$$\mathbf{G}_{total} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{c} (n\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) dv \quad (5)$$

is given by Gordon's [9] momentum density, Eq. (3), integrated over all space σ . However, Milonni and Boyd [16] revisited Gordon's derivation of the total momentum as

the sum of the Abraham momentum for the field and a material momentum arising from the Lorentz force on dipoles and derived the Minkowski momentum, which is not conserved, for the total momentum. Conservation of the total momentum in a closed system is decisive and the Gordon momentum, Eq. (5), is the proven total momentum for the light pulse plus stationary dielectric closed system [19, 20].

In this article, we investigate the decomposition of the total electromagnetic momentum in a linear dielectric into separate field and matter components. At the level of continuum electrodynamics, we take the material momentum to be the difference of the total momentum, which is conserved, and a field momentum that is to be determined. The temporal derivative of the material momentum density is the macroscopic force density that can be expressed in terms of the fields and a macroscopic material property. Assuming that a decomposition of the total momentum into field and material components exists, we find that each choice of the field momentum results in a specific relation between the refractive index and the macroscopic material property. Adopting a microscopic model of the material as being composed of discrete dipoles, we derive Lagrange equations of motion and show that the dielectric can be treated as a collection of stationary simple harmonic oscillators driven by the electric field. The polarization field that is generated by the oscillators contributes to both the total energy and the total momentum through the refractive index n . We conclude that, in the absence of reflections, the total energy and the total momentum are electromagnetic in nature and do not separate into field and matter components.

II. FIELD AND MATTER COMPONENTS OF THE TOTAL MOMENTUM

A physical theory is an abstract mathematical model of some limited aspect of the real world [21], in this case, light traveling at speed c/n in a simple linear dielectric. Our model dielectric consists of a rectangular prism of space in which light travels at speed c/n , where n is a real constant. No such idealization of a dielectric exists in the real world. Instead, the usual conditions for results of the model to correspond to results in the real world apply: A simple dielectric is linear, isotropic, homogeneous, transparent, and dispersion-negligible in a regime in which electrostrictive effects and magnetostrictive effects can be neglected [22]. We assume that a quasimonochromatic optical pulse impinges on the dielectric from the vacuum at normal incidence in the plane-wave limit and that the dielectric is covered with a thin gradient-index coating in order to make reflections and surface radiation pressure negligible. The material is then stationary in the laboratory frame of reference and it should be noted that the usual Maxwell–Heaviside equations are valid only for stationary media. These limits allow our macroscopic

calculations to be performed using the formalism of classical continuum electrodynamics.

We start with a proven fact from the conservation of total momentum in a thermodynamically closed system consisting of a quasimonochromatic pulse of light in the plane-wave limit normally incident on a stationary simple dielectric with a gradient-index antireflection coating [19, 20]: The total electromagnetic momentum for the case of a negligibly reflecting simple dielectric is given by Gordon’s formula, Eq. (5). The total momentum for the system is the sum of its field and material momentum components [3, 4, 8, 9, 13, 17]. Since the 1960s, the resolution of the Abraham–Minkowski controversy has been that any decomposition of the total momentum into a field component and a matter component is valid as long as their sum is the total momentum [3, 8].

The Abraham momentum is a common, but not exclusive, choice for the momentum of the field in a dielectric [8, 9, 11, 16]. Rather than make this an *ansatz*, we start with a more general form of the field momentum in a dielectric

$$\mathbf{G}_{field} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\zeta(n)}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) dv, \quad (6)$$

with $\zeta(n)$ an unspecified function of n , that includes most of the proposed forms of electromagnetic momentum, (*e.g.*, the Abraham, Minkowski, and Pierels momentums). We note that ζ is specifically *not* a function of the electric and magnetic fields. The momentum of the material $\mathbf{G}_{mat}$ is the difference of the total and field momentums,

$$\mathbf{G}_{mat} = \mathbf{G}_{total} - \mathbf{G}_{field} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{n - \zeta(n)}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) dv. \quad (7)$$

The material momentum density

$$\mathbf{g}_{mat} = \frac{n - \zeta(n)}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) \quad (8)$$

leads directly to a macroscopic force density

$$\mathbf{f}_{mat} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}_{mat}}{\partial t} = (n - \zeta(n)) \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} \right). \quad (9)$$

We define a macroscopic material property

$$\xi_e(n) = n - \zeta(n) \quad (10)$$

that relates the electric and magnetic fields to a macroscopic force density

$$\mathbf{f}_{mat} = \xi_e \left(\frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} + \mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} \right) \quad (11)$$

on the spatially distributed particles of matter. Then the decomposition of the total momentum into field and matter components,

$$\mathbf{G}_{field} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{n - \xi_e}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) dv \quad (12)$$

$$\mathbf{G}_{mat} = \int_{\sigma} \frac{\xi_e}{c} (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}) dv, \quad (13)$$

is uniquely defined by macroscopic material properties n and ξ_e .

III. MICROSCOPIC TREATMENT OF MATERIAL MOMENTUM

The total momentum of a closed system with complete equations of motion is unique by virtue of its conservation. We now know that the decomposition of the total momentum into field and material components is not arbitrary, as had previously been argued [3–5, 8–13, 15–17]. We simply need to determine the nature of the material momentum and what those properties are.

The most rigorous approach to light propagation in a material is to use a microscopic model in which the microscopic electromagnetic field interacts with individual electric dipoles in the vacuum. If the distance between dipoles in the material is much smaller than the wavelength, then the theory can be considerably simplified by applying an averaging scheme to a volume containing many atoms per cubic radiation wavelength. Gordon [9], for example, spatially averages the Lorentz force on a single electric dipole with dipole moment $\mathbf{p} = \alpha \mathbf{e}$

$$\mathbf{f}_{dipole} = (\mathbf{p} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{e} + \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d(ct)} \times \mathbf{b} \quad (14)$$

to create a macroscopic Lorentz force density

$$\mathbf{f}_L = N \langle \mathbf{f}_{dipole} \rangle = \frac{N\alpha}{2} \left(\mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} \right). \quad (15)$$

Here, $\mathbf{e}$ is the microscopic electric field, $\mathbf{b}$ is the microscopic magnetic field, α is the linear polarizability, N is the number density, $\mathbf{E} = \langle \mathbf{e} \rangle$ is the macroscopic electric field, and $\mathbf{B} = \langle \mathbf{b} \rangle$ is the macroscopic magnetic field. Gordon [9] employs the low-density approximation

$$n = \sqrt{1 + N\alpha} \approx 1 + N\alpha/2 \quad (16)$$

such that

$$\mathbf{f}_L = N \langle \mathbf{f}_{dipole} \rangle \approx (n - 1) \left(\mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} \right). \quad (17)$$

Other authors have derived variations of this basic relation. Peierls [10] used the exact relation

$$n^2 = 1 + N\alpha \quad (18)$$

to obtain

$$\mathbf{f}_L = N \langle \mathbf{f}_{dipole} \rangle = \frac{n^2 - 1}{2} \left(\mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} \right). \quad (19)$$

Saldanha [7] and Bradshaw, *et al.* [23] find the macroscopic Lorentz force

$$\mathbf{f}_L = (n^2 - 1) \left(\nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} E^2 \right) + \mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} \right). \quad (20)$$

If the Lorentz force, Eq. (20), is separated into components,

$$\mathbf{f}_{L1} = (n^2 - 1) \nabla \left(\frac{1}{2} E^2 \right) \quad (21)$$

$$\mathbf{f}_{L2} = (n^2 - 1) \left(\mathbf{E} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial(ct)} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial(ct)} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \quad (22)$$

then $\mathbf{f}_{L2}$ is in the form of the Abraham force and differs from the Peierls's result for the Lorentz force by a factor of 2. Selecting one of these average Lorentz force densities to equate with the macroscopic force density $\mathbf{f}_{mat}$, Eq. (11), will result in a value for the material parameter ξ_e and formulas for the field and material components of momentum in a dielectric, Eqs. (12) and (13).

The Gordon form of the force, Eq. (17), provides us with the sensible result that the material momentum is the Abraham momentum. But the Gordon form is an approximation. Peierls's exact result, Eq. (19), provides us with a field momentum that is negative for some values of the refractive index. Further, there is a factor of two discrepancy in the derivations of Gordon [9] and Peierls [10] compared to the later work [7, 23]. The Lorentz force given by Eq. (22) presents us again with the problem of a negative field momentum for some values of n . Therefore, none of the versions of the Lorentz force that are presented here are particularly appealing. In the next section, we take a more detailed look at the microscopic Lorentz force.

IV. LAGRANGIAN DYNAMICS OF THE DIELECTRIC

The macroscopic force density, or Lorentz force, is only significant where the amplitudes of fields are changing in time. The effect of the Lorentz force has been interpreted, in the context of a “top hat” pulse shape, as an acceleration of the physical atoms/dipoles on the front side of the pulse where the electromagnetic field is increasing in strength [9]. The atoms in the middle portion of the pulse travel at a generally constant velocity until they are decelerated at the back edge of the field. No momentum is left in the atoms after the pulse has passed. The process has been described by saying that the material momentum travels with the pulse [9].

The Lagrangian for a collection of electric dipoles interacting with a microscopic electromagnetic field is [24]

$$L = \sum_{\alpha} \left[-\frac{1}{2} \kappa \mathbf{r}_{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} M \dot{\mathbf{R}}_{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \dot{\mathbf{r}}_{\alpha}^2 \right]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{q}{c} \left(\dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha) + \frac{m_- - m_+}{M} \dot{\mathbf{r}}_\alpha \cdot [(\mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha)] \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \dot{\mathbf{R}}_\alpha \cdot [(\mathbf{r}_\alpha \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{R}_\alpha)] \right) \\
& + \int \frac{1}{2c^2} \left((\dot{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{x}))^2 - c^2 (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}))^2 \right) d^3x, \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where α labels each dipole, $M = m_+ + m_-$ is the total mass, $\mu = m_+ m_- / M$ is the reduced mass, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_+ - \mathbf{r}_-$ is the relative coordinate, $\mathbf{R} = (m_+ \mathbf{r}_+ + m_- \mathbf{r}_-) / M$ is the center-of-mass coordinate, and $\mathbf{A}$ is the vector potential. For each α , the Lagrange equations of motion are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{R}}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{R}_i} = 0 \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\mathbf{r}}_i} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mathbf{r}_i} = 0. \quad (25)$$

Applying Eq. (24) to the Lagrangian, Eq. (23) and dropping the less significant terms, we obtain a microscopic force equation

$$M \ddot{\mathbf{R}} = -\frac{q}{c} (\mathbf{r} \cdot \nabla) \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} + \frac{q}{c} \dot{\mathbf{r}} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \quad (26)$$

that is the Lorentz force on a single dipole, Eq. (14). The other Lagrange equation of motion

$$\mu \ddot{\mathbf{r}} + \kappa \mathbf{r} = -\frac{q}{c} \dot{\mathbf{A}} - \frac{q}{c} (\dot{\mathbf{R}} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{A} \quad (27)$$

that is derived by applying Eq. (25) to the Lagrangian, Eq. (23), and keeping the significant terms is more interesting because it is evocative of the other microscopic model of a dielectric as stationary simple harmonic oscillators driven by the electric field.

Momentum is a quantity that represents the movement of energy. Typically, this is mass energy and it is natural to think of the atoms that are accelerated by the Lorentz dipole force as having momentum $\mathbf{p} = m\mathbf{v}$. In this Gordon [9] model, the atoms are free particles that are accelerated by the Lorentz force at the leading edge of the field and travel at constant velocity until decelerated by the Lorentz force at the trailing edge of the pulse. Then, the momentum of the atoms contributes to the total momentum. However, that model assumes a low-density limit in which there is no scattering of the field and assumes that the atoms move without being restrained by association with a lattice and without colliding with any other particles in the material. That is

not the case for a dielectric and such a material has no definite index of refraction. Instead, there is substantial impediment to the motion of atoms in a dielectric that, after all, is a material that can be modeled as a continuous medium. By setting $\dot{\mathbf{R}} = 0$ in Eq. (27) we recover the usual and familiar stationary simple harmonic oscillator model of a dielectric. The oscillators with frequency $\omega_0 = \sqrt{\kappa/\mu}$, driven by the quasimonochromatic field with center frequency ω_p , create a polarization field

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{Nq^2/\mu}{\omega_0^2 - \omega_p^2} \mathbf{E} \quad (28)$$

that contributes to both the total energy and the total momentum through the refractive index n . The fields, electric, magnetic, and polarization, travel together as a pulse of electromagnetic radiation and are responsible for the electromagnetic momentum. Although there will be some small and transient amounts of energy and momentum associated with the action of the Lorentz force on massive particles, the energy and momentum are almost entirely electromagnetic in nature. Then the momentum density of the matter, Eq. (8), is negligible, as is the associated macroscopic force density, Eq. (9). Substituting $\xi_e = 0$ into Eqs. (12) and (13), we have and $\mathbf{G}_{field} = \mathbf{G}_{total}$ and $\mathbf{G}_{mat} = 0$. There is no appreciable matter component of either energy or momentum for a simple linear dielectric medium that is at rest in the laboratory frame of reference.

The situation changes somewhat in the absence of a gradient-index antireflection coating. Momentum balance requires, at the cost of some amount of rigor in the derivation, that we impute a momentum to the material that is twice the momentum of the reflected field imparted by the surface force of reflection. But, that is the only significant material momentum, there is no momentum of the Gordon type due to motion of the atoms inside the dielectric.

V. CONCLUSIONS

The total momentum is uniquely conserved in a thermodynamically closed system with complete equations of motion. Once the total momentum is known, with certainty, from conservation principles, then the momentum relations can be derived. Using a microscopic model of the dielectric medium, we showed that the propagating polarization field, not the movement of matter, is a component of the momentum of a system consisting of a stationary dielectric illuminated by a pulse of quasimonochromatic radiation through a gradient-index antireflection coating.

-
- [1] H. Minkowski, Nach. Ged. Wiss. Göttingen **53**, (1908).
 - [2] M. Abraham, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo **28**, 1 (1909).
 - [3] I. Brevik, Phys. Rep. **52**, 133 (1979).
 - [4] P. Penfield, Jr. and H. A. Haus, *Electrodynamics of Moving Media* (MIT Press, 1967).
 - [5] C. Møller, *The Theory of Relativity*, (Clarendon, 1962).
 - [6] M. Kranyš, Int. J. Engng. Sci. **20**, 1193 (1982).
 - [7] P. L. Saldanha, Opt. Commun. **284**, 2653 (2011).
 - [8] R. N. C. Pfeifer, T. A. Nieminen, N. R. Heckenberg, and H. Rubinsztein-Dunlop, Rev. Mod. Phys. **79**, 1197 (2007).
 - [9] J. P. Gordon, Phys. Rev. A **8**, 14 (1973).
 - [10] R. Peierls, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **347**, 475 (1976).
 - [11] M. Mansuripur, Opt. Expr. **12**, 5375 (2004).
 - [12] B. A. Kemp, Proc. SPIE 8458, Optical Trapping and Optical Micromanipulation IX, 845803 (2012).
 - [13] S. M. Barnett, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 070401 (2010).
 - [14] Y. N. Obukhov, Ann. Phys. **17**, 830 (2008).
 - [15] S. M. Barnett and R. Loudon, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A **368**, 927 (2010).
 - [16] P. W. Milonni and R. W. Boyd, Adv. Opt. Photon. **2**, 519 (2010).
 - [17] B. A. Kemp, J. Appl. Phys. **109**, 111101 (2011).
 - [18] D. J. Griffiths, Am. J. Phys. **80**, 7 (2012).
 - [19] M. E. Crenshaw and T. B. Bahder, Opt. Commun. **284**, 2460 (2011).
 - [20] M. E. Crenshaw, Proc. SPIE 8458, Optical Trapping and Optical Micromanipulation IX, 845804 (2012).
 - [21] W. Rindler, *Introduction to Special Relativity*, (Clarendon, 1982).
 - [22] Z. Mikura, Phys. Rev. A **13**, 2265 (1976).
 - [23] D. H. Bradshaw, Z. Shi, R. W. Boyd, P. W. Milonni, Opt. Commun. **283**, 650 (2010).
 - [24] C. Choen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, *Photons and Atoms: Introduction to Quantum Electrodynamics* (Wiley, 1989).